The Pan African Medical Journal

Conducting a Surveillance Data Quality Audit in Grand Bassa County, Liberia, November 2015

Joseph Asamoah Frimpong, Maame Pokuah Amo-Addae, Peter Adebayo Adewuyi, Casey Daniel Hall Meeyoung Mattie Park, and Thomas Knue Nagbe

African Case Studies in Public Health

Decision-making I onitoring Quality Imp. Infectious Disease Public ... Ith Prevention Calth Education Quality Improvement Data Quality Cory Findings Endemic Community Outreach Survenuity Outreach Endemic Lab Results Data Quality Posion-making Laboratory Findings Response Contact Tralysis Epidemic Lab Results Infectious Disease Public Health Disease Reporting Endemic Data Quality Health Education Epidemiology Surveillance Public Health Disease Reporting Sision-making Quality Improvement Epidemiology Surveillance Response Contact Tracing Case Control Data Quality Infectious Disease Analysis Epidemic Case Control Data Quality Infectious Disease Analysis Epidemic Case Control Data Quality Prevention Monitoring Quality Case Control Sults Infectious Disease Reporting Surveil Case Control Sults Infectious Disease Reporting Case Control Case Control Sults Infectious Disease Reporting Case Control Case Contr alth Education Quality Improvement Data Qualit ings Surveillancé Response 🕻 t Tracⁱرt Data for Decision-making ory Firalts Ir ntrol Analysis Epidemic s Diseasé Health Prom ta Qu vement Evaluation Hes demic Lab Results Data Quality Hes יח Public Heal[†] treach End





Education



Conducting a Surveillance Data Quality Audit in Grand Bassa County, Liberia, November 2015

Authors: Joseph Asamoah Frimpong¹, Maame Pokuah Amo-Addae¹, Peter Adebayo Adewuyi¹, Casey Daniel Hall², Meeyoung Mattie Park², Thomas Knue Nagbe³

¹Liberia Field Epidemiology Training Program, Monrovia, Liberia; ²Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, USA; ³Ministry of Health, Monrovia, Liberia

Corresponding Author: Joseph Asamoah Frimpong

Email: asamoah.frimpong@gmail.com

Abstract

Public health officials depend on timely, complete, and accurate surveillance data for decision making. The quality of data generated from surveillance is highly dependent on external and internal factors which may either impede or enhance surveillance activities. One way of identifying challenges affecting the quality of data generated is to conduct a data quality audit. This case study, based on an audit conducted by residents of the Liberia Frontline Field Epidemiology Training Program, was designed to be a classroom simulation of a data quality audit in a health facility. It is suited to enforce theoretical lectures in surveillance data quality and auditing. The target group is public health trainees, who should be able to complete this exercise in approximately 2 hours and 30 minutes.

How to Use the Case Study

General instructions: A class of up to 20 trainees is ideal for a training sessions using this case study. The instructor facilitating the session should direct a participant to read a paragraph out loud, going around the room to give each participant a chance to read. Based on the type of question, the instructor may decide to divide the class into small groups for exercises, randomly identify a trainee to respond to the question, or engage the class in a group discussion of the answer. The aim of the interaction is to allow participants to learn from each other and not just from the instructor. Specific instructor's notes are included with each question in the instructor's version of this case study.

Audience: Residents in Frontline Field Epidemiology Training Programs (FETP-Frontline), Field Epidemiology and Laboratory Training Programs (FELTPs), and others who are interested in this topic.

Prerequisites: For this case study, trainees should have received lectures on data quality, data quality auditing, and SWOT analysis.

Materials needed: Flipchart or white board with markers

Level of training and associated public health activity: Novice - Data Quality Auditing

Time required: Approximately 2-3 hours

Language: English

Participant's Guide

Goal of Case Study: To simulate data quality auditing in a health facility

Learning Objectives - After completion of this case study, the participants should be able to:

- 1. Describe the purpose of data quality audits
- 2. Prepare for a data quality audit
- 3. Use a data quality audit tool
- 4. Identify strengths, weakness, opportunities and threats in a surveillance system
- 5. Make recommendations based on data quality audit
- 6. Share findings and recommendations with stakeholders
- 7. Develop a data quality audit report

Introduction

In 2014, Liberia experienced an outbreak of Ebola virus disease (EVD) which claimed the lives of many locals [1]. The Ministry of Health (MoH) realised, in attempting to rapidly assess and effectively manage the outbreak, that there were major gaps in their surveillance and response system. In reaction, the Ministry of Health developed an Investment Plan for Building a Resilient Health System (2015-2021) to outline the strategy for developing a health system "that is able to anticipate, detect early, respond to and quickly recover from health emergencies" [2]. To help the MoH achieve its objectives, 92 district surveillance officers (DSOs) were recruited and trained to serve as supervisors at the district and community level. As part of their training, they were enrolled in a Frontline Field Epidemiology Training Program (FETP-Frontline) to equip them with the necessary skills for their work.

The newly trained DSO for District A appreciated the importance of collecting quality data to support effective surveillance. She recognised that although surveillance had been ongoing in her district over the years, the quality of data was a pressing concern. She therefore made it her mandate to improve the quality of data from her district by applying new skills learned in FETP-Frontline training [3]. To achieve this, the DSO conducted a data quality audit in District A to identify existing gaps in surveillance activities and take action based on findings [3].

Question 1. What is data quality in surveillance?		

Question 2. What are some of the data quality issues in public health surveillance? Discuss.
Question 3. What are some of the common sources of errors in data collection and entry? Discuss.
Question 4. What initial preparations should be made before conducting a data quality audit in a facility?

Part 1

Following approval by the County Health Officer and District Health Officer, the data quality audit was carried out by the District A DSO from 19-21 November 2015 in the three health facilities (Clinics A, B and C) which provided healthcare to the district. The DSO conducted interviews with key staff in the facilities and completed a data quality audit worksheet (see Appendix 1) based on direct observations. The worksheet included questions regarding data collection, reporting, analysis, interpretation, public health action, and evaluation conducted by the health facility [3].

Question 5. In relation to surveillance, whom will you consider as key staff in your health facilities an why?		

Question 6. Review the data quality worksheet below. Indicate how you will verify information provided by facility staff under each activity during the audit.

Activity	#	Question	Method of Verification
1a. Data	1	Is there an information flow for	
Collection –		reporting to the district level	
General		(diagram or description)?	
	2	How frequently do you review and	
		collect data (e.g. daily, weekly,	
		monthly)?	
	3	Is there a list of the country's	
		notifiable diseases?	
	4	Is there a list of immediately	
		reportable diseases (IRD)?	
	_	For each IRD describe for the house	
	5	For each IRD, does this facility have	
		case definitions for suspect and	
		confirmed cases? (e.g. polio, TB,	
		Ebola, yellow fever)	

П	1	T	1
1b. Data Collection – Case Report or Line Item Form	1	Are immediately reportable diseases recorded on a case form or line item form?	
	2	Is the case form or line item form paper-based or electronic?	
	3	If paper, do you have an adequate supply of case report or line item forms?	
	4	Is your facility using them?	
	5	Do you get feedback about the final diagnosis?	
1c. Data Collection – Register Cases	1	For suspected cases, what material is reviewed to determine suspected cases (e.g. patient chart, facility record, case form, line list)?	
	2	For suspected cases, how was diagnosis assessed (e.g. laboratory confirmatory tests, patient's signs and/or symptoms, patient history, or consultation)?	
	3	Are IRD recorded in the clinic register or facility line list according to the country-specific case definition?	
2a. Report	1	Who is responsible to report IRD (health care provider, laboratory, institution)?	
	2	When was the last time a supervisor made a site visit to your facility?	
	3	How often do you report information to the next level?	

	4	Is there a standard method for reporting each reportable disease?	
	5	Is there a standard method for reporting each immediately reportable disease?	
	6	Is there a standard method of reporting an outbreak?	
	7	Is the report case-based or aggregate format?	
	8	Is the reporting protocol process mapped out or summarised in narrative format and readily visible in the facility (e.g. on the wall)?	
	9	For notifiable diseases, are "0" cases recorded and reported?	
	10	For immediately reportable diseases such as Ebola, are "0" cases recorded and reported?	
	11	Are each of the IRD consistently reported in a timely manner (e.g. polio, TB, Ebola, yellow fever)?	
3a. Analyse and Interpret	1	Does your facility regularly provide graphics that illustrate your facility's data for priority diseases?	
	2	If so, where are the graphics/images posted (e.g. book, wall, computer file)?	
	3	Are trend lines up-to date for this/these IRDs?	

	4	Is the distribution of suspected or confirmed cases plotted on a district map?	
	5	Does your facility regularly compare your data with similar facilities in the district?	
4a. Action	1	How quickly were IRD, either suspected or confirmed, reported to the district office (e.g. within x hours)?	
	2	Is there a laboratory in this facility to identify IRDs?	
	3	Is there a protocol/plan for submitting suspected specimens to laboratory for disease confirmation?	
	4	Is there a method to explicitly record laboratory-confirmed cases?	
	5	Are there supplies appropriate (rapid test kits, swabs, laboratory medium) for identifying the IRDs?	
	6	Do you have the name and complete contact information (e.g. phone number, email, fax) for the District supervisor?	
5a. Evaluation	1	Is the facility aware of the monitoring indicators?	
	2	Do facilities regularly receive feedback about their performance?	
	3	Does the facility have a process to check the accuracy of their data?	

Question 7. Form three groups with each group representing a facility. Select one member from each group who will role play as the DSO for District A. The remaining group members will serve as key staff in the facilities. The person playing the role of the DSO will complete the DQA worksheet (see Appendix 1) based on information provided by those playing the role of key staff (see Appendix 2).

Part 2

After successful completion of the audit at each health facility, the DSO used the opportunity to sensitise the health workers on diseases of high priority, as well as the importance of careful and regular case reporting. On return from her visit, she decided to perform a SWOT analysis of her findings [3].

Question 8. What is a SWOT analysis?
Question 9. What is the difference between strengths and opportunities in a SWOT analysis?
Question 10. What is the difference between weaknesses and threats in a SWOT analysis?

Question 11. Using the information gathered from the mock interview, conduct a SWOT analysis of your findings.

The DSO prepared her recommendation based on SWOT findings. A summary report was developed and shared with all stakeholders for prompt action to be taken. The DSO decided to focus on actions that were within her control and to follow up on other stakeholders to ensure that other outstanding recommendations were implemented [3].

Question 12. If you were the DSO, what recommendations would you give regarding this delegation process? Outline the problems/challenges, recommendations, and person responsible.

Question 13. Who might be considered a stakeholder in surveillance for District A?		
International	National	Local
	1	

Question 14. How will you disseminate your findings?

A month after the District A DSO conducted the data quality audit, her supervisor, the County Surveillance Officer (CSO), conducted an assessment to measure any improvement in surveillance activities at the facilities. From his assessment, he observed that facilities started drawing crude spot maps for priority diseases in their catchment area based on training they received from the DSO. The health facilities also monitored the trend of priority diseases using a line graph. The CSO also observed that Officer in Charge of the facility was now routinely verifying surveillance data captured at the facility [3].

Question 15. Prepare a summary report for the data quality audit as per this case study. *Hint: Your report should have the following sections with headings: Introduction, Methods, Results (SWOT analysis), Recommendation, and Conclusion*

Conclusion

The data quality audit helped the District A DSO to identify key factors influencing surveillance data quality in the district. In action taken after the quality audit, there was remarkable improvement in the accuracy and completeness of the data produced.

A strong surveillance system needs to have an effective way of systematically collecting, analysing, and interpreting quality surveillance data to inform public health action. To ensure early detection and response to diseases of concern as well as to maintain a healthy population, a strong surveillance at the lowest level is the first point of call. However, health care workers are often faced with major constraints which hinders their ability to carry out their duties effectively, thereby affecting the timeliness and quality of data reported. Monitoring and evaluation of surveillance activities at the facility and community level will help to sustain the efforts made in improving data quality as well as build a strong partnership among districts and communities for early disease detection and effective response.

Background Reading

Food Security and Nutrition Network. *Data Quality Audit (DQA) tools. 2007.* http://www.fsnnetwork.org/sites/default/files/data_quality_audit_tool.pdf

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank African Field Epidemiology Network and Emory University for supporting Africanbased case study development. We acknowledge residents of the Liberia Field Epidemiology Training Program and Ministry of Health, Liberia for allowing us to use their data for this case study.

Appendix 1

Officer Name:	X District	
Date of Visit:	Data Quality Audit	Facility Name:

Persons Met

Name	Title

ACTIVITY	#	In	this facility		Notes
1a. Data	1	Is there an information flow for reporting to			
Collection –		the district level (diagram or description)?			
General	2	How frequently do	you review a	nd collect data	
		(e.g. daily, weekly,	, monthly)?		
	3	Is there a list of th	e country's no	otifiable	
		diseases?			
	4	Is there a list of im	mediately rep	oortable	
		diseases (IRD)?			
	5	For each IRD, does	•		
		definitions for sus			
			ly Reportable [
		IRD (example)	Suspect	Confirmed	
		Polio TB			
		VHF (e.g., Ebola)			
		Yellow Fever			
		Other, specify:			
		, ,			
1b. Data	1	Are immediately r	eportable dise	eases recorded	
Collection –		on a case form or	line item form	1?	
Case Report or	2	Is the case form or line item form paper-based			
Line Item Form		or electronic?			
	3	If paper, do you ha	ave an adequa		
		case report or line			
	4	Is your facility usin	ng them?		
	5	Do you get feedba	ck about the f	final diagnosis?	
1c. Data	6	For suspected cases, what material is reviewed			
Collection –		to determine suspected cases (e.g. patient			
Register Cases		chart, facility record, case form, line list)?			
	7	For suspected case	-	•	
		assessed (e.g. labo	•		
		patient's signs and		s, patient	
		history, or consult	ation)?		

ACTIVITY	#	In this facility	Notes	
	4	Is the distribution of suspected or confirmed		
		cases plotted on a district map?		
	5	Does your facility regularly compare your data		
		with similar facilities in the district?		
3b. Thoughts	List	possible causes or omissions or problems		
on Analyse and	List	List recommended solutions, including target date		
Interpret	and	person responsible		
4a. Action	1	How quickly were IRD, either suspected or		
		confirmed, reported to the district office (e.g.		
		within x hours)?		
	2	Is there a laboratory in this facility to identify		
		IRDs?		
	3	Is there a protocol/plan for submitting		
		suspected specimens to laboratory for disease		
	-	confirmation?		
	4	Is there a method to explicitly record		
	_	laboratory-confirmed cases?		
	5	Are there supplies appropriate (rapid test kits,		
		swabs, laboratory medium) for identifying the IRDs?		
	6	Do you have the name and complete contact		
	0	information (e.g. phone number, email, fax)		
		for the District supervisor?		
4b. Thoughts	List	List possible causes or omissions or problems		
on Action		recommended solutions, including target date		
		person responsible		
5a. Evaluation	1	Is the facility aware of the monitoring		
		indicators?		
	2	Do facilities regularly receive feedback about		
		their performance?		
	3	Does the facility have a process to check the		
		accuracy of their data?		
5b. Thoughts	List	possible causes or omissions or problems		
on Evaluation		recommended solutions, including target date		
	and	person responsible		
		Additional Information/Comments		
1				

Appendix 2

Officer Name:	X District	Facility Name: Health Facility A
Date of Visit:	Data Quality Audit	

Persons Met

Name	Title

ACTIVITY	#	In this facility	Notes
1a. Data	1	Is there an information flow for reporting to	Diagram available, but not on
Collection –		the district level (diagram or description)?	wall
General	2	How frequently do you review and collect	Data is collected daily, but
		data (e.g. daily, weekly, monthly)?	review is not done at facility
			level
	3	Is there a list of the country's notifiable	There is a list of the country's
		diseases?	notifiable diseases but not on
			wall due to renovation
	4	Is there a list of immediately reportable	There is a list of immediately
		diseases (IRD)?	reportable diseases but not on
			wall due to renovation
	5	For each IRD, does this facility have case	Standard case definition
		definitions for suspect and confirmed cases?	charts available at the facility
		Immediately Reportable Diseases	but not posted on wall due to
		IRD (example) Suspect Confirmed	renovation of health facility
		Polio	
		TB	
		VHF (e.g., Ebola) Yellow Fever	
		Other, specify:	
		other, speeny.	
1b. Data	1	Are immediately reportable diseases	Case-based form is used but
Collection –		recorded on a case form or line item form?	not filled for all cases due to
Case Report or			shortage
Line Item Form	2	Is the case form or line item form paper-	Paper-based case form
	based or electronic?		
3 If paper, do you have an a		If paper, do you have an adequate supply of	Supply of case form is
		case report or line item forms?	inadequate
	4	Is your facility using them?	Facility uses forms when
			available
	5	Do you get feedback about the final	No feedback is received
		diagnosis?	

ACTIVITY	#	In this facility	Notes
1c. Data	6	For suspected cases, what material is	Patient chart, facility record
Collection –		reviewed to determine suspected cases (e.g.	and case form
Register Cases		patient chart, facility record, case form, line	
		list)?	
	7	For suspected cases, how was diagnosis	Patient signs and/or
		assessed (e.g., laboratory confirmatory tests,	symptoms
		patient's signs and/or symptoms, patient	
		history, or consultation)?	
	8	Are IRD recorded in the clinic register or	Yes
		facility line list according to the country-	
		specific case definition?	
1d. Thoughts	List	possible causes of omissions or problems	
on Data	List	recommended solutions, including target date	
Collection	and	persons responsible	
2a. Report	1	Who is responsible to report IRD (health care	Surveillance focal person
		provider, laboratory, institution)?	
	2	When was the last time a supervisor made a	7 days ago
		site visit to your facility?	
	3	How often do you report information to the	Daily, weekly, and monthly
		next level?	
	4	Is there a standard method for reporting each	Yes, but not displayed on wall
		reportable disease?	
	5	Is there a standard method for reporting each	Yes, but not displayed on wall
		immediately reportable disease?	
	6	Is there a standard method of reporting an	Yes, but not displayed on wall.
		outbreak?	Response initiated at local
			level
	7	Is the report case-based or aggregate format?	Initial report is by alert
			notification using a case-based
			form. A line list is then
			prepared for all identified
			cases
	8	Is the reporting protocol process mapped out	Reporting protocol is mapped
		or summarised in narrative format and readily	out but not readily visible on
		visible in the facility (e.g. on the wall)?	wall
	9	For notifiable diseases, are "0" cases	Zero cases are reported and
		recorded and reported?	recorded in the IDSR ledger
	10	For immediately reportable diseases such as	Zero cases are reported and
		Ebola, are "0" cases recorded and reported?	recorded in the IDSR ledger

ACTIVITY	#	In this facility	Notes
	11	Are each of the IRD consistently reported in a	More than 80% of the reports
		timely manner?	are received on time
		Immediately Reportable Diseases	
		IRD (example) Yes No	
		Polio	
		ТВ	
		VHF (e.g., Ebola)	
		Yellow Fever	
		Other, specify:	
2b. Thoughts	List	possible causes or omissions or problems	
on Report		recommended solutions, including target date	
·		person responsible	
3a. Analyse	1	Does your facility regularly provide graphics	Yes – Graphs and tables are
and Interpret	_	that illustrate your facility's data for priority	produced in monthly
aa		diseases?	aggregates
	2	If so, where are the graphics/images posted	wall
	_	(e.g., book, wall, computer file)?	wan
	3	Are trend lines up-to date for this/these IRDs?	Yes
	4	Is the distribution of suspected or confirmed	Only suspected cases. Results
		cases plotted on a district map?	are not received from the
		·	laboratory and therefore
			confirmed cases cannot be
			plotted
	5	Does your facility regularly compare your	Not done
		data with similar facilities in the district?	
3b. Thoughts	List	possible causes or omissions or problems	
on Analyse and	List	recommended solutions, including target date	
Interpret	and	person responsible	
4a. Action	1	How quickly were IRD, either suspected or	Within an hour. Need to walk
		confirmed, reported to the district office (e.g.	up the hill to get mobile
		within x hours)?	network connection to make
			phone calls
	2	Is there a laboratory in this facility to identify	No. Lab can only perform
		IRDs?	basic lab test such as malaria
			RDT
	3	Is there a protocol/plan for submitting	Yes
		suspected specimens to laboratory for	
		disease confirmation?	
	4	Is there a method to explicitly record	Yes
		laboratory-confirmed cases?	
	5	Are there supplies appropriate (rapid test kits,	Irregular supply, based on
		swabs, laboratory medium) for identifying the	availability at county level
		IRDs?	
	6	Do you have the name and complete contact	Yes
		information (e.g. phone number, email, fax)	
		for the District supervisor?	
	1	·	1

ACTIVITY	#	In this facility	Notes
4b. Thoughts	List	possible causes or omissions or problems	
on Action	List	recommended solutions, including target date	
	and	person responsible	
5a. Evaluation	1	Is the facility aware of the monitoring	Not all indicators
		indicators?	
	2	Do facilities regularly receive feedback about	Only timeliness of reporting
		their performance?	
	3	Does the facility have a process to check the	No
		accuracy of their data?	
5b. Thoughts	List possible causes or omissions or problems		
on Evaluation	List	recommended solutions, including target date	
	and	person responsible	

Additional Information/Comments

- The facility is currently under renovation by IOM.
- Training of health workforce in IDSR and Safe Quality Service is ongoing
- District health officer is making arrangement to provide facility with high frequency radio.
- Although the facility has a motorbike, the bad nature of the roads pose a great challenge during field visits especially in the rainy season.

References

- 1. WHO. *Ebola Situation Report*. 2016. Geneva, Switzerland. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/161976/1/roadmapsitrep_15Apr2015_eng.pdf?ua=1&ua=1
- 2. Ministry of Health (Liberia). Investment Plan for Building a Resilient Health System: 2015-2021. 2015; (May): 1–60
- 3. Liberia Field Epidemiology Training Program. Data Quality Audit in District 3C of Grand Bassa County. 2016