



# Research



# Antibiotic usage in a South African paediatric medical ward following the introduction of an antibiotic prescription chart

#### ២ Habibat Dolapo Salau, ២ Ané Orchard, Deanne Johnston, Sarah Stacey, Sheeba Varughese, Razeeya Khan

**Corresponding author:** Habibat Dolapo Salau, Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa. Razeeya.khan@wits.ac.za

Received: 28 Jul 2022 - Accepted: 09 Mar 2023 - Published: 08 May 2023

Keywords: Antibiotic use, antibiotic resistance, antimicrobial stewardship, paediatric

**Copyright:** Habibat Dolapo Salau et al. Pan African Medical Journal (ISSN: 1937-8688). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution International 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Cite this article:** Habibat Dolapo Salau et al. Antibiotic usage in a South African paediatric medical ward following the introduction of an antibiotic prescription chart. Pan African Medical Journal. 2023;45(26). 10.11604/pamj.2023.45.26.36548

Available online at: https://www.panafrican-med-journal.com//content/article/45/26/full

Antibiotic usage in a South African paediatric medical ward following the introduction of an antibiotic prescription chart

Habibat Dolapo Salau<sup>1</sup>, Ané Orchard<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Stacey<sup>2</sup>, Sheeba Varughese<sup>3</sup>, Deanne Johnston<sup>4</sup>, Razeeya Khan<sup>1,&</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, <sup>3</sup>Department of Paediatric and Child Health, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, <sup>4</sup>Discipline of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of KwaZulu Natal, Johannesburg, South Africa

#### <sup>®</sup>Corresponding author

Razeeya Khan, Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

# **Abstract**

Introduction: the global rise in antibiotic resistance (ABR), coupled with a dry pipeline for the discovery of new antibiotics requires the conservation of currently available antibiotics. Antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) interventions are being implemented to optimize antibiotic use including the use of antibiotic prescription charts. This study reviewed the use of antibiotics before and after the introduction of an antibiotic prescription chart in a paediatric medical ward of an academic tertiary hospital in Johannesburg. Methods: a crosssectional retrospective review of patient records was conducted for patients admitted to a paediatric medical ward of an academic tertiary hospital over two study periods; before and after the introduction of an antibiotic prescription chart. Data were captured on a Microsoft<sup>®</sup> Excel (2010) spreadsheet and analyzed using Stata/IC 15.1 (StataCorp, USA). Results: antibiotic use decreased significantly by 7.04% following the introduction of the antibiotic prescription chart (p=0.027). Fields often left unfilled on the antibiotic prescription chart include age (100%), a record of renal function (GFR/CrCl) (97.46%), time of antibiotic prescribing (83.62%) and a record of culture and sensitivity results (80.17%). Conclusion: the findings of this study show an improvement in antibiotic use, the frequency of culture and sensitivity testing and documentation of relevant parameters after the introduction of the antibiotic prescription chart. The use of an antibiotic prescription chart is a practical way to achieve optimal antibiotic use and to encourage proper detailing of the clinical components necessary for antibiotic selection in a hospital setting in a developing country.

# Introduction

The unabating challenge of the development of bacterial resistance coupled with a dry antimicrobial drug pipeline has made antibiotic resistance a global public health challenge [1]. Antibiotics are the most commonly used



medicines worldwide with usage rates as high as 50% in hospitalized patients [2,3]. A global "postantibiotic era" is approaching where available antibiotics will lose their benefits [4,5]. This places a significant social and financial burden on society, with increased cost and prolonged hospital stays, leading to increased morbidity and mortality [1,6]. The severity of the antimicrobial resistance (AMR) crisis in developing countries, including South Africa, is weighty and worsened by the limited availability and affordability of antimicrobials essential in the treatment of resistant organisms [7]. The first reported case of carbapenemase-producing bacteria in Africa emerged in South Africa in 2011 [8]. Surveillance data suggest that resistance continues to rise in prevalent infectious pathogens [6]. Antibiotic usage, whether indicated or not, as well as improper antibiotic prescribing practices, are significant contributors to the emergence of resistant bacteria [9,10]. Infection prevention and control (IPC) programs, alongside antimicrobial stewardship strategies, are among the approaches in the fight against antibiotic resistance worldwide [11]. Antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) was endorsed at the sixty-eighth World Health Assembly of the World Health Organization (WHO) as a global strategy aimed at reducing AMR [12]. It was recommended that all member states adopt individual national action plans to combat AMR. The objective of AMS is to encourage the responsible use of antibiotics to improve patient outcomes [13]. Antimicrobial stewardship is crucial to combat antibiotic resistance [14] to advent of resistant microbes, reduce the unnecessary drug use, adverse effects, and costs [15-17]. One of the strategic objectives of antimicrobial stewardship is the implementation of an antibiotic prescription chart to promote the rational use of antimicrobials by facilitating surveillance and improving compliance and quality of prescribing [18,19]. A weekly AMS ward round in addition to a customized antibiotic prescribing chart introduced in two medical wards of a South African academic teaching hospital led to a 19.6% reduction in antibiotic usage, without compromising patient care [20]. However, it was





difficult to isolate the individual impact of the chart from that of the ward rounds [20]. The antibiotic chart design includes information relating to patient demographics (name, age, weight, ward, gender, and renal function), diagnosis, infection source, antibiotics (antibiotic, dosage, route of administration, dosing frequency, time of prescribing and duration of therapy), the rationale for antibiotic prescribing, as well as culture and sensitivity testing (timing of culture testing, isolated pathogen, susceptible and resistant antibiotics). An antibiotic prescription chart is important in all stages of the antibiotic prescribing process from patient evaluation to dispensing of antibiotics. It also serves as a crucial data recording tool, allowing members of the AMS healthcare team to access essential and information throughout the duration of antibiotic therapy. Studies of AMS practices and the impact of introducing an antibiotic prescription chart in South Africa are scarce. Hence, this study aimed to investigate the utilization of antibiotics after introducing a paper-based antibiotic prescription chart in an academic tertiary hospital in Johannesburg.

## **Methods**

**Setting:** this study was conducted in a paediatric medical ward of a public academic tertiary hospital in Johannesburg, South Africa. The hospital has 1088 beds with over 4,000 staff members and functions as a referral hospital for several hospitals in its cluster. The paediatric medical ward consists of 24 beds and admits patients under the age of six with medical and non-infectious diagnoses.

**Study design:** a retrospective record review of antibiotic usage was carried out across two study periods. The first study period was between 1<sup>st</sup> August and 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016, which represents the period before the introduction of the antibiotic prescription chart (pre-introduction), while the second study period was between 1<sup>st</sup> August and 31<sup>st</sup> October 2019 and represents the

period after the introduction of the antibiotic prescription chart (post-introduction).

Data collection and statistical analysis: records of all patients (male and female) admitted to the study ward, who received an antibiotic throughout the study periods, were included in the study. Data retrieved included patient demographics (age, weight, gender), diagnosis, source of infection, prescribed antibiotics, antibiotic dosage, frequency of dosing, route of administration as well as microbial culture and sensitivity testing. Data were retrieved and analyzed using Stata/IC 15.1 (StataCorp, USA). Patient characteristics and all outcome measures were quantitatively compared for both study periods and presented using descriptive analysis and comparison tables. Pearson's Chi-square was used to test associations between selected categorical variables. A p-value not exceeding 0.05 was regarded as significant.

**Data reliability and validity:** data were collected by the same researcher. Unique study numbers were assigned to each patient record for easy tracing. The same variables were collected throughout the study and sorted before data analysis. Other studies have used a similar data collection tool [4,20]. The same months across both study periods were used to mitigate the possibility of changes in disease patterns due to changes in season.

**Ethical considerations:** ethical clearance to conduct this study was obtained from the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (ref. no. M190711).

### Results

A total of 336 patient records were reviewed with 225 patients receiving an antibiotic: 109 in the pre-introduction period and 116 in the post-introduction period.

**Antibiotic utilization:** this study found that antibiotics were prescribed to 70.78% of patients in the pre-introduction period and 63.74% in the



post-introduction period, with a statistically significant difference in antibiotic prescribing across both study periods (p=0.027). The mean  $\pm$ SD number of antibiotics prescribed per patient in the pre-introduction period was  $1.91 \pm 1.14$  and  $1.96 \pm 1.08$  in the post-introduction period with no statistically significant difference (p=0.3679). Three or fewer antibiotics per admission were frequently prescribed per patient over both study periods, representing 92.66% and 90.52% of patients in the pre-introduction and postintroduction periods respectively (Table 1).

**Microbial culture and sensitivity testing:** microbial culture and sensitivity tests were requested in 77.98% and 83.62% of patients in the pre-introduction and post-introduction periods respectively with no statistically significant difference (p = 0.710) in the number of tests requested across both study periods.

Duration, antibiotics prescribed and routes of administration: antibiotic regimens of one to seven days were frequently administered across both study periods (Table 2). On average, patients were treated with an antibiotic for 5.59 days in the pre-introduction period and 6.14 days in the postintroduction period. Antibiotics were commonly administered empirically across both study periods.

The use of the antibiotic prescription chart in the post-introduction period: antibiotics were prescribed on 85.59% of antibiotic prescription charts in the post-introduction period (Table 3). Half (50%) of the antibiotic prescription charts did not have a source of infection recorded (Table 3). Furthermore, the timing of cultures sent (before or after antibiotic initiation) was only indicated on 47.41% of antibiotic prescription charts. The time of antibiotic prescribing was indicated on only 16.38% of antibiotic prescription charts (Table 3). The commonly omitted fields on the antibiotic prescription chart included age (100%), a record of renal function (GFR/CrCl) (97.46%), time of antibiotic prescribing (83.62%), a record of culture and sensitivity results (80.17%), the rationale for

antibiotic prescribing (50%), a record of infection source (50%), and timing of culture tests (52.59%).

## Discussion

The introduction of an antibiotic prescription chart as an AMS strategy is beneficial in improving antibiotic use in healthcare institutions. The antibiotic prescription chart serves as a means of surveillance and enables the proper selection of antibiotics when used optimally. This study sought to review antibiotic use before and after implementing an antibiotic prescription chart in a paediatric medical ward of an academic tertiary hospital.

Antibiotic utilization: this study recorded a total of 70.78% and 63.74% of patients being administered at least one antibiotic in the pre-introduction and post-introduction periods respectively. Other studies have reported higher ranges of antibiotic prescribing of 72-84% [21-24] per patient. Antibiotic utilization in this study was, however, higher when compared to the WHO recommendation of below 30% utilization [25] and studies conducted in other developing in countries, including Ethiopia (52.3%) [26], Ghana (55.2%) [27] and Nigeria (51.0%) [28]. Inappropriate antibiotic use is a promoter of antimicrobial resistance, leading to increased costs and prolonged hospital treatment stay [1,6,29]. Furthermore, the proportion of antibiotic prescriptions was observed to have decreased significantly (p=0,027) by 7.04% in the post-introduction period as compared to the preintroduction period and suggests that the antibiotic chart may have contributed to the reduced proportion of antibiotic prescribing. It is common for in-patients to receive one or more antibiotics subject to clinical considerations. Benefits such as broadened antimicrobial cover, and synergistic bactericidal or bacteriostatic effects, may warrant the use of combination antibiotics [30]. However, unnecessary concurrent antibiotic use, duplicate antibiotic cover or constant and unnecessary changes to prescribed antibiotics are common practices in the hospital





setting [31]. This study recorded a mean ± SD number of antibiotics prescribed per patient in the pre-introduction period of  $1.91 \pm 1.14$ , and  $1.96 \pm$ 1.08 in the post-introduction period with no statistically significant difference (p=0.3679) across both study periods. A varying average number of prescribed antibiotics per in-patient admission has been reported, ranging from 1.29 to 2.1 [22,24,26,32,33]. A related study that reviewed a pharmacist-led AMS intervention reported a statistically significant difference in the mean number of antibiotics prescribed per patient during the intervention when compared to the period following its cessation (p=0.001) [34]. Thus, a clinical pharmacist may be of benefit in ensuring optimal antibiotic use using AMS strategies in the hospital setting. The most commonly used antibiotics were similar in both study periods and ampicillin, gentamycin, included and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid.

This is similar to reports from studies conducted in paediatric wards in South African hospitals [35,36] and an Ethiopian referral hospital [22] where ampicillin and gentamycin were reported to be the most frequently prescribed antibiotics. Concurrent administration of gentamycin and ampicillin in the empiric treatment of neonatal sepsis and severe pneumonia is recommended in the paediatric hospital-level Standard Treatment Guidelines (STG) in South Africa [37]. This may account for the frequent use of ampicillin and gentamycin, as cases of sepsis and pneumonia were predominant in both study periods. In addition, the duration of therapy increased by 0.55 days in the postintroduction period compared to the preintroduction period. This could be explained by the 2.7-fold increase in the cases of sepsis as compared to the pre-introduction period. In addition, the paediatric hospital-level STG in South Africa recommends the treatment of neonatal sepsis for a duration of 10 days [37]. The duration of antibiotic therapy is often guided by clinical prolonged response and in bloodstream infections [38]. The appropriate route of administration for any medication should deliver the quantity necessary to produce the desired

result without an adverse outcome [39]. Overuse of parenteral medicines constitutes irrational use of medicines [40]. This, alongside the challenges of medicine administration, discomfort to patients, increased cost, risk of infection and longer hospital stays make the oral route a preferred choice over the parenteral route [39]. The study ward frequently employed parenteral antibiotics in both study periods. The repeated use of parenteral antibiotics in this study may be associated with limited antibiotic choices in the hospital formulary, severity of the illness, unavailability of an alternate dosage form of the appropriate antibiotic as well as inadequate antibiotic stock on hand. Despite the possible adverse effects of the parenteral route of administration, such as the risk of parenteral antibiotics infection, have been documented to be the major route of antibiotic administration in several studies [22,23,26,41,42].

Culture and sensitivity testing: the need for appropriate culture and sensitivity testing in antibiotic selection cannot be overemphasized. It is essential that samples are appropriately and promptly obtained for testing and requested before initiating antibiotic therapy to optimize an accurate diagnosis [43]. The proportion of culture and sensitivity tests requested in the postintroduction period increased by 5.64% (from 77.98% in the pre-introduction period to 83.62% in the post-introduction period), although the difference not statistically significant was (p=0.710). In this study, cultures were requested more often than reported in a paediatric ward of a public hospital in South Africa, where laboratory tests were not requested in 69.7% of cases [35]. In addition to the above findings, the use of the prescription chart in antibiotic the postintroduction period was also reviewed. Successful implementation of an antibiotic prescription chart may improve compliance with appropriate usage prescribing of antibiotics. The chart and encourages clinicians to record the indication (prophylactic, empiric, definitive), dosage, duration and frequency of administration, allergy and abnormal renal or hepatic function [44]. Adherence to the documentation of clinical





indicators on the antibiotic prescription chart is necessary to improve the review of antibiotic therapy using this AMS strategy [45]. The most omitted fields in this study included age (100%), a record of renal function (GFR/CrCl) (97.46%), time of antibiotic prescribing (83.62%), a record of culture and sensitivity results (80.17%), the rationale for antibiotic prescribing (50%) and record of infection source (50%). A study that audited an antibiotic prescription chart reported that commonly omitted fields included weight (45%), estimated glomerular filtration rate (36%) and allergies (32%) [20]. Antibiotics are frequently administered per kilogram body weight in paediatric patients, and this could account for the consistent rate of documentation of weight values on the antibiotic prescription chart. A probable reason for the inconsistent documentation observed could be the inconvenient and timeconsuming process of completing the chart for clinicians as they may have several patients to attend to within a limited time frame. A computerized data entry system may increase the use and convenience of the antibiotic prescription chart [13]. There is, however, the potential for improvement in documentation of information on the antibiotic prescription chart as several fields were left incomplete. Prescribers are to be made aware of the need to complete all fields contained in the antibiotic prescription chart as this will assist in appropriate antibiotic selection and use. The commitment of clinical staff also plays an important role in achieving consistent and optimum benefits from the use of the antibiotic prescription chart.

**Limitations:** in the study ward, adherence to the antibiotic chart was insufficient, and as such, this study may not have entirely reflected the true picture of the public health sector based on the data collected. Another limitation of this study was that patient comorbid, chronic and immunosuppressed conditions were not linked to antibiotic prescribing, including prophylaxis with sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim in patients with HIV. This may have influenced research findings

such as the duration of antibiotic therapy and number of antibiotics prescribed.

#### Conclusion

The outcome of this study indicates a statistically significant decrease in the proportion of patients prescribed antibiotics as well as a non-significant increase in the culture and sensitivity tests requested after the introduction of the antibiotic prescription chart. Evidence for proper implementation of the antibiotic prescription chart in this study is lacking. The antibiotic prescription chart is not being used to its full potential with documentation poor practices evident. Emphasizing the benefit of the antibiotic prescription chart as well as a regular review of antibiotic therapy using the antibiotic prescription chart by a clinical pharmacist and infectious disease physician may ensure optimal antibiotic use.

#### What is known about this topic

- High rate of antibiotic prescribing;
- Surveillance studies regarding AMS practices and the impact of introducing an antibiotic prescription chart are scarce.

#### What this study adds

- An antibiotic prescription chart can positively impact optimal antibiotic use;
- There is a gap in the effective implementation of the antibiotic prescription chart.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

## **Authors' contributions**

Habibat Dolapo Salau: conducted the study, collected, analysed the data, and drafted the manuscript; Habibat Dolapo Salau, Razeeya Khan, Ané Orchard, Deanne Johnston, and Sarah Stacey: contributed to the conceptualization and design of





the study; Razeeya Khan, Ané Orchard, Deanne Johnston, Sarah Stacey, and Sheeba Varughese participated in the study supervision and revision of the manuscript. All authors reviewed the final manuscript.

## **Tables**

**Table 1**: number of antibiotics prescribed perpatient across both study periods

**Table 2**: diagnoses, duration of antibiotic therapy,commonly prescribed antibiotics and routes ofadministration across both study periods

**Table 3**: audit of the antibiotic prescription chartin the post-introduction period

### References

- World Health Organization. Antimicrobial resistance global report on surveillance. Accessed May 7, 2019.
- Owens RC. Antimicrobial Stewardship: Application in the Intensive Care Unit. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2009 Sep;23(3): 683-702.
   PubMed | Google Scholar
- Gelband H, Miller-Petrie M, Pant S, Gandra S, Levinson J, Barter D, White A, Laxminarayan R. The state of the world's antibiotics. Wound Healing Southern Africa. 2015;8(2): 30-34.
   Google Scholar
- Johnston D, Khan R, Miot J, Moch S, Van Deventer Y, Richards G. Usage of antibiotics in the intensive care units of an academic tertiary-level hospital. Southern African Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2018;33(4): 106-113. Google Scholar
- Knowledge and Hub. Implementation plan for the antimicrobial resistance strategy framework in South Africa 2014-2019. National Department of Health South Africa. 2015.
- 6. Knowledge and Hub. Antimicrobial resistance background document. National Department of Health South Africa. Accessed May 7, 2019.

- Duse AG. The global antibiotic resistance partnership (GARP). S Afr Med J. 2011 Aug;101(8 Pt 2): 551. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Brink AJ, Coetzee J, Clay CG, Sithole S, Richards GA, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Emergence of New Delhi metallo-betalactamase (NDM-1) and Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC-2) in South Africa. J Clin Microbiol. 2012 Feb;50(2): 525-7.
   PubMed | Google Scholar
- Fishman N. Antimicrobial stewardship. Am J Infect Control. 2006 Jun;34(5 Suppl 1): S55-63; discussion S64-73. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Ali MH, Kalima P, Maxwell SRJ. Failure to implement hospital antimicrobial prescribing guidelines: A comparison of two UK academic centres. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006 May;57(5): 959-62. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Spellberg B, Bartlett JG, and Gilbert DN. The future of antibiotics and resistance. N Engl J Med. 2013 Jan 24;368(4): 299-302. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 12. World Health Organization. Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance. 2015. **Google Scholar**
- Drew RH. Antimicrobial stewardship programs: How to start and steer a successful program. J Manag Care Pharm. 2009 Mar;15(2 Suppl): S18-23. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 14. Lowman W. Minimum inhibitory concentration-guided antimicrobial therapy the Achilles heel in the antimicrobial stewardship agenda. S Afr Med J. 2018 Aug 28;108(9): 710-712. **PubMed** | Google Scholar
- 15. Shlaes DM, Gerding DN, John JF Jr, Craig WA, Bornstein DL, Duncan RA *et al.* Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and Infectious Diseases Society of America joint committee on the prevention of antimicrobial resistance: Guidelines for the prevention of antimicrobial resistance in hospitals. Clin Infect Dis. 1997 Sep;25(3): 584-99. **PubMed Google Scholar**



- 16. Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM, MacDougall Schuetz AN, Septimus EJ et C, al. Implementing an antibiotic stewardship program: Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2016 May 15;62(10): e51-77. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Morris AM, Bai A, Burry L, Dresser LD, Ferguson ND, Lapinsky SE *et al*. Long-Term effects of phased implementation of antimicrobial stewardship in academic ICUs: 2007-2015. Crit Care Med. 2019 Feb;47(2): 159-166. **PubMed** | Google Scholar
- Echols RM, Kowalsky SF. The use of an antibiotic order form for antibiotic utilization review: Influence on physicians' prescribing patterns. J Infect Dis. 1984 Dec;150(6): 803-7.
   PubMed | Google Scholar
- 19. Gyssens IC, Blok WL, Van Den Broek PJ, Hekster YA, Van Der Meer JWM. Implementation of an educational program and an antibiotic order form to optimize quality of antimicrobial drug use in a department of internal medicine. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1997 Dec;16(12): 904-12. PubMed | Google Scholar
- 20. Boyles TH, Whitelaw A, Bamford C, Moodley M, Bonorchis K, Morris V et al. Antibiotic stewardship ward rounds and a dedicated prescription chart reduce antibiotic consumption and pharmacy costs without affecting inpatient mortality or re-admission rates. PLoS One. 2013 Dec 9;8(12): e79747. PubMed | Google Scholar
- 21. Paruk F, Richards G, Scribante J, Bhagwanjee S, Mer M, Perrie H. Antibiotic prescription practices and their relationship to outcome in South Africa: Findings of the prevalence of infection in South African intensive care units (PISA) study. S Afr Med J. 2012 Jun 14;102(7): 613-6. PubMed | Google Scholar
- 22. Amaha ND, Berhe YH, Kaushik A. Assessment of inpatient antibiotic use in Halibet National Referral Hospital using WHO indicators: A retrospective study. BMC Res Notes. 2018 Dec 18;11(1): 904 **PubMed** | **Google Scholar**

- Hadi U, Duerink DO, Lestari ES, Nagelkerke NJ, Keuter M, Huis In't Veld D *et al.* Audit of antibiotic prescribing in two governmental teaching hospitals in Indonesia. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2008 Jul;14(7): 698-707. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 24. Atif M, Azeem M, Saqib A, Scahill, S. Investigation of antimicrobial use at a tertiary care hospital in Southern Punjab, Pakistan using WHO methodology. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2017 Apr 28;6: 41. PubMed| Google Scholar
- World Health Organization. Using indicators to measure country pharmaceutical situations: Fact book on WHO Level I and Level II monitoring indicators. 2006. Google Scholar
- 26. Demoz GT, Kasahun GG, Hagazy K, Woldu G, Wahdey S, Tadesse DB *et al.* Prescribing pattern of antibiotics using who prescribing indicators among inpatients in Ethiopia: A need for antibiotic stewardship program. Infect Drug Resist. 2020 Aug 11;13: 2783-2794. PubMed Google Scholar
- Prah J, Kizzie-Hayford J, Walker E, Ampofo-Asiama A. Antibiotic prescription pattern in a Ghanaian primary health care facility. Pan Afr Med J. 2017 Nov 7;28: 214. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 28. Enato EFO, Chima IE. Evaluation of drug utilization patterns and patient care practices. West Afr J Pharm. 2011 Mar 13;22(1): 36-41.
  Google Scholar
- 29. Llor C, Bjerrum L. Antimicrobial resistance: risk associated with antibiotic overuse and initiatives to reduce the problem. Ther Adv Drug Saf. 2014 Dec;5(6): 229-41. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Ballot DE, Nana T, Sriruttan C, Coope PA. Bacterial bloodstream infections in neonates in a developing country. ISRN Pediatr. 2012;2012: 508512. PubMed | Google Scholar

# Article 👌



- 31. United States Agency for International Development. Arlington, VA: Management Sciences for Health. 2012. How to investigate antimicrobial use in hospitals: Selected Indicators. Arlington, VA; USAID. Accessed 11<sup>th</sup> January 2021.
- 32. Sarwar MR, Saqib A, Iftikhar S, Sadiq T. Antimicrobial use by WHO methodology at primary health care centers: a cross sectional study in Punjab, Pakistan. BMC Infect Dis. 2018 Sep 29;18(1): 492. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 33. Gutema G, Håkonsen H, Engidawork E, Toverud EL. Multiple challenges of antibiotic use in a large hospital in Ethiopia - a wardspecific study showing high rates of hospitalacquired infections and ineffective prophylaxis. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018 May 3;18(1): 326. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 34. Gebretekle GB, Haile Mariam D, Abebe Taye W, Mulu Fentie A, Amogne Degu W, Alemayehu T *et al.* Half of prescribed antibiotics are not needed: A pharmacist-led antimicrobial stewardship intervention and clinical outcomes in a referral hospital in Ethiopia. Front Public Health. 2020 Apr 9;8: 109. **PubMed** | **Google Scholar**
- 35. Mabila N, Schellack N, Gous AGS. Antibiotic prescribing patterns among healthcare professionals at Van Velden Memorial Hospital in Tzaneen, Limpopo province, South Africa.African Journal for Physical Activity and Health Sciences (AJPHES). 2016 Mar 1;22(1-1): 79-97. **Google Scholar**
- Koopmans LR, Finlayson H, Whitelaw A, loedt EH, Dramowski A. Paediatric antimicrobial use at a South African hospital. Int J Infect Dis. 2018 Sep;74: 16-23. PubMed| Google Scholar
- National Department of Health South Africa. Standard treatment guidelines and essential medicines list for South Africa 4th edition-2017. Accessed 29<sup>th</sup> January 2021.

- 38. Havey TC, Fowler RA, Pinto R, Elligsen M, Daneman N. Duration of antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients with bloodstream infections?: A retrospective cohort study. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2013 Fall;24(3): 129-37. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Kuper KM. Intravenous to oral therapy conversion. Murdaugh LB. Competence Assessment Tools for Health-System Pharmacies. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. United States: ASPH. 2008: 347-60. Google Scholar
- 40. World Health Organization. Promoting rational use of medicines: core components. WHO policy perspectives on medicines. 2002.
- 41. Ram JR, Anitha N, Unnisa I. Rational and empiric antibiotic prescription in general medicine department. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2016;15(4): 110.
  Google Scholar
- 42. Oduyebo OO, Olayinka AT, Iregbu KC, Versporten A, Goossens H, Nwajiobi-Princewill PI *et al.* A point prevalence survey of antimicrobial prescribing in four Nigerian Tertiary Hospitals. Annals of Tropical Pathology. 2017;8(1): 42-46. Google Scholar
- Leekha S, Terrell CL, Edson RS. General principles of antimicrobial therapy. Mayo Clin Proc. 2011 Feb;86(2): 156-67. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 44. Marr JJ, Moffet HL, Kunin CM. Guidelines for improving the use of antimicrobial agents in hospitals: A statement by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Journal of Urology. 1988;140(6): 1599-1599. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 45. National Department of Health South Africa. Guidelines for the prevention and containment of antimicrobial resistance in South African Hospitals. DHS. Accessed 17<sup>th</sup> May 2020.



Table 1: number of antibiotics prescribed per patient across both study periods				
Number of antibiotics per	Pre-introduction (%)	Post-introduction (%)		
patient				
1	45.87	40.52		
2	31.19	36.21		
3	15.60	13.79		
4	3.67	6.03		
≥ 5	3.67	3.45		

Table 2: diagnoses,	duration of antibiotic th	erapy, commonly	prescribed antibiotics and	
routes of administration across both study periods				
		Pre-introduction	Post-introduction (%)	
		(%)		
Common diagnoses	Pneumonia	44.04	43.96	
	Sepsis	10.09	27.59	
	Lower respiratory tract infection	9.17	6.03	
Duration of	1-7 days	82.57	81.04	
antibiotic therapy	8-14 days	14.68	12.94	
	15-20 days	1.84	3.44	
	> 21 days	0.92	2.58	
Antibiotics	Ampicillin	39.45	36.21	
commonly	Gentamycin	29.36	26.72	
prescribed	Cefotaxime	27.52	12.07	
	Amoxicillin/clavulanic	22.94	30.17	
	acid			
Common routes of	Parenteral	58.72	65.52	
administration	Oral and parenteral	29.35	18.10	



**Table 3:** audit of the antibiotic prescription chart in the postintroduction period

	Percentage of data entered on the antibiotic prescription chart (%)	
Patient demographics		
Age	0.00	
Weight	58.47	
Allergies	43.22	
Renal function	2.54	
Patient diagnosis	78.81	
Infection source		
Not recorded	50.00	
Community	42.24	
Hospital	6.90	
Both	0.86	
Timing of culture		
Before antibiotic	47.41	
After antibiotic	0.00	
Not documented	52.59	
Culture and sensitivity results	19.83	
Record of antibiotics	84.48	
Time of antibiotic prescribing	16.38	
The rationale for antibiotic prescribing	50.00	