

Annex 5: themes and sub-themes from our qualitative synthesis

Main theme	Sub-theme	Studies
Awareness creation and	Use of drama	Mwinga & Moodley (2015)
sensitization on the		Tindana <i>et al</i> . (2017)
research		Simwinga <i>et al.</i> (2018)
		Asante <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	Use social media	Simwinga et al. (2018)
		Meiring <i>et al</i> . (2019)
		Tindana <i>et al</i> . (2017)
	Use of documentaries and digital	Asante <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	storytelling	Tindana <i>et al</i> . (2017)
	Use of open community forum	Simwinga et al. (2016)
	(Submission and discussion of	Petersen et al. (2012)
	study proposal and other	Musesengwa & Chimbari
	concerns)	(2017)
		Mukaratirwa (2017)
	Use of community durbars	Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)
		Asante <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	Use of mobile vans with key	Meiring <i>et al</i> . (2019)
	messages	
	Use of leaflets	Asante <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Co-creation	Community capacity building	Petersen et al. (2012)
		Musesengwa & Chimbari
		(2017)
		Nyirenda <i>et al</i> . (2020)
		Brear <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	Community input	Mwinga & Moodley (2015)
	into the protocol and study	
	instruments development	
	Use of Community Advisory	
	mechanism and community	Musesengwa et al. (2018)
	liaison officer (CLO) (Formation	
	community advisory boards	
	(CABs)	
	Forming community coalitions	Musesengwa et al. (2017)
Damiana to affections of	and partnerships	
Darriers to effective engag	ement of communities in research	
Main thomas	Cycle 4h area	Christian
Main theme	Sub-theme	Studies

	T	
Prohibitive cultural, historical and religious context	Restrictions on visiting homes of newborn	Hamer <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Religious ideologies	Ndekha <i>et al.</i> (2003)
	Mistrust regarding the research	Newman <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	(Due to historical colonial	Kagee <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	exploitation) and having	Ndekha <i>et al.</i> (2003)
	suspicions towards research	NMalayirenda <i>et al.</i> (2018)
	institutions (university or other "outside" involvement)	Mosavel <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Geographical/Spatial	Dispersed nature of communities	Hamer et al. (2015)
limitation		, ,
	Poor road networks	Kagee <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	District the second sec	Asante <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	Distance challenge due to size of the area of coverage	Ndekha <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Ineffective stakeholder engagement	Obtaining consent from study individuals without seeking consent from leadership of the community	Nyika <i>et al.</i> (2010)
	Failure to do proper community	Mlambo <i>et al</i> . (2019)
	entry by researchers	Musesengwa et al. (2017)
Difficulty in planning and	Engagement process taking	Nyika <i>et al.</i> (2010)
executing community	longer than planned due to the	-
engagement activities	step-wise procedure	
	Lack of / limited formative research	Simwinga et al. (2018)
	Time constraints / wasting time	Brear et al. (2020)
	in obtaining permission from	Musesengwa et al. (2018)
	community, political, and administrative officials	Simwinga et al. (2018)
Unmet community	Raising expectations of the	Nyika <i>et al.</i> (2010)
expectations	community to levels beyond	-
	what the proposed research may	
	be able to address	
	Monetary expectations (Lack of	Nyirenda et al. (2018)
	monetary incentives)	Ndekha <i>et al.</i> (2003)
		Nyika <i>et al.</i> (2010)
		Simwinga et al. (2018)
	Unmet expectations from previous research experience	Asante <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Communication barriers	Language barrier in	Newman <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	communicating important	Kagee <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	scientific concepts to community	Surakat <i>et al.</i> (2017)
	Misinformation	Kagee <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	Language and literacy barrier in	Mtove <i>et al.</i> (2018)
	obtaining informed consent	(2020)
	Misconceptions that inhibit effective communication	Newman <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	checuve communication	

		1
	Limited understanding of health	Nyirenda et al (2018)
	research and lexicon challenges	Newman <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Low literacy levels of	Newman <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	community members to fully	Mwinga <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	comprehend the nature or	Musesengwa (2017)
	concepts of the research	(===,
	Inadequate feedback to the	Mwinga <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	community by the research team	Wiwinga et at. (2013)
In a da ayyata		November 24 21 (2015)
Inadequate	Difficulty in achieving a	Newman <i>et al.</i> (2015)
representativeness of	balanced selection of ethnic	
community stakeholders	diverse community stakeholders	
	Lack of a designated focal	Mwinga <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	persons for the CAB	
	Limited financial resources	Mwinga & Moodley (2015)
	(Huge commitment of financial	Mlambo <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	resources)	Musesengwa et al. (2018)
	,	Surakat <i>et al.</i> (2017)
		Brear et al. (2020)
Multiple research	Competing research projects	Musesengwa et al. (2018)
projects at the same time	Competing research projects	Musesengwa et al. (2017)
projects at the same time	Conflicting agenda	
	Connicting agenda	Musesengwa et al. (2018)
Negative experience from	Failure of previous research to	Essack et al. (2012)
previous studies	achieve intended objectives	
provisous studies	Personal questions that	Essack et al. (2012)
	communities are not comfortable	2012)
	with from previous research	
	experience	
	-	F1 (2012)
	Disappointment from not being	Essack <i>et al.</i> (2012)
	included in previous studies	
Facilitators of effective con	mmunity engagement in research	
Main theme	Sub-theme	Studies
Effective community	Engaging first, traditional and	Tindana <i>et al.</i> (2017)
entry and engagement of	then religious leaders	Hamer <i>et al.</i> (2015)
stakeholders at beginning	dien rengious readers	Newman <i>et al.</i> (2015)
of the research		Mtove <i>et al.</i> (2018)
of the research		l ' '
		Mosavel <i>et al.</i> (2005)
		Mwinga <i>et al.</i> (2015)
		Musesengwa et al. (2018)
		Paulina et al. (2011)
		Simwinga et al. (2016)
	Engagement of community-level	Tindana et al. (2017)
	political leaders	Hamer <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Engagement of communities	Newman <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	during protocol formulation	
	stages	
	Engagement of civil society	Simwinga et al. (2016)
	organizations	
L		<u> </u>

	Social mapping	Hamer <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Paying close attention to clear channels of authority in the local communities	Paulina et al. (2011) Paulina et al. (2011)
Effective community engagement strategies	Two-way communication with communities to discuss issues that may be of concern to them	Nyika <i>et al.</i> (2010)
	Openly acknowledging the role that the entire community plays in the research	Simwinga et al. (2018)
	Utilisation of strong communication skills (Use of social marketing Strategies)	Kagee <i>et al.</i> (2020) Mosavel <i>et al.</i> (2005)
	Good cultural knowledge by researchers	Mosavel et al. (2005)
	Effective use of existing social structures and networks in the communities	Mlambo <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	Adding fun to all activities and use of participatory methods	Simwinga et al. (2018)
	Use of new technologies and computers in engagement	Simwinga et al. (2018)
	Holding of regular feedback meetings with stakeholders	Musesengwa et al. (2017) Musesengwa et al. (2018) Mwinga et al. (2015) Simwinga et al. (2018)
	Carrying out targeted sensitisation campaigns to ensure that community members understand the research objectives and deliverables	Musesengwa et al. (2017) Ndekha et al. (2003)
	Active involvement of community in decision making	Petersen et al. (2012) Musesengw et al. (2018) Wariri et al. (2017) Paulina et al. (2011)
	Creation of community fora such as "participative spaces" and/or "participatory workshops	Musesengwa et al. (2017)
Community empowerment	Training and recruitment of local staff for the research (as research assistants)	Ndekha <i>et al.</i> (2003)
	Formation of community advisory boards (CAB)	Simwinga <i>et al.</i> (2018)  Kagee <i>et al.</i> (2020)  Mosavel <i>et al.</i> (2005)  Mwinga <i>et al.</i> (2015)  Musesengwa <i>et al.</i> (2017)  Mlambo <i>et al.</i> (2019)

	Involvement of marginalized groups	Wariri <i>et al.</i> (2017)
	A strong collaboration with the local information service	Asante et al. (2013)
Community motivation	department Financial incentives	Simwinga <i>et al.</i> (2018
Community monvation	Timanetai incentives	Silliwiliga et al. (2016
	Training and motivation of community leaders	Ndekha et al. (2003)
	Non-financial incentives	Simwinga et al. (2018)
	ded in engaging communities in reso	
Main theme	Sub-theme	Studies
Cultural considerations	Gaining understanding of community perspectives, beliefs, cultural values, and practices.	Tindana <i>et al</i> . (2017)
	Adopting culturally appropriate	Nyika et al. (2010)
	ways to communicate research	Kamuya <i>et al</i> . (2017)
	information to communities (Respect for local concerns and norms)	Mosavel <i>et al.</i> (2005)
	Research involving difficult and complex topics need to be evaluated for their effectiveness within the cultural context.	Tindana et al. (2017)
Community autonomy	Autonomy of communities in decision making needs to be enhanced	Mtove et al. (2018)
	Community Advisory Boards (CAB) should be able to carry out their functions independently of the research team in order to protect the community from any unethical research practices	NMalayirenda et al. (2018)
	Avoidance of structural coercion	Nyirenda et al. (2020)
	Avoidance of the use of coercive methods in referral or recruitment of participants	Simon & Mosavel (2010)
	A need for research involving young people to be evaluated for their effectiveness	Tindana et al. (2017)
Review of research protocols	Effective review of research protocols (including questionnaire, informed consent forms) before the engagement	Mtove <i>et al.</i> (2018) Mwinga & Moodley (2015)
Informed consent	A need to obtain community informed consent before engagement	Mosavel <i>et al.</i> (2005) Mlambo <i>et al.</i> (2019) Musesengwa <i>et al.</i> (2017)

	T	TT
	Ensuring appropriate consenting	Kamuya <i>et al</i> . (2017)
	processes in populations with	
	low literacy or limited exposure	
	and experience with research	
Avoidance of community	Exploitation of locally recruited	Simon & Mosavel (2010)
exploitation	researchers through unfair	` ,
	employment practices needs to	
	be stopped	
	Ensuring the avoidance of power	Simwinga et al. (2018)
		` ,
	3	Nyirenda <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	between researchers and	Nyirenda <i>et al.</i> (2018)
	community	Kagee et al. (2020)
Ensuring fidelity	Respect for initial research plans	Kagee <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	Avoid carrying out activities that	Mlambo <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	are outside of the initial protocol	` ,
Risks and Benefits	Providing adequate information	Mlambo <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	on research goals, risks, and	Kamuya <i>et al.</i> (2017)
	benefits (Study benefits and	Kamaya et at. (2017)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	compensations need to be made	
	clear to community)	
	Avoiding research-related harm	Simwinga <i>et al.</i> (2018)
	(actually ensuring safety of	Paulina <i>et al</i> . (2011)
	communities when conducting	Meiring <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	study activities	
Privacy and	Ensuring privacy of community	Mlambo <i>et al.</i> (2019)
confidentiality	and study participants	` ,
	Ensuring confidentiality of	Mlambo <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	community and study	Witamoo et at. (2017)
	participants	
Compat took do on how con	L * .	~:
	der is taken into account when enga	iging communities
in research		
Main theme	Sub-theme	Studies
Gender and consent	Household consent decision	Kamuya <i>et al</i> . (2017)
	malrina	1 (0010)
	making	Mtove <i>et al.</i> (2018)
	making	Mtove <i>et al.</i> (2018)
	making	Mtove <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Gender of field workers	, and the second	, ,
Gender of field workers	Influence of gender of the field	Mtove et al. (2018)  Kamuya et al. (2017)
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement	Kamuya et al. (2017)
Gender of field workers  Gender equality	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at	, ,
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at stakeholders meeting	Kamuya <i>et al.</i> (2017)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at stakeholders meeting  Avoidance of cultural tensions	Kamuya et al. (2017)
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at stakeholders meeting	Kamuya <i>et al.</i> (2017)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at stakeholders meeting  Avoidance of cultural tensions	Kamuya <i>et al.</i> (2017)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at stakeholders meeting  Avoidance of cultural tensions regarding researchers working closely	Kamuya <i>et al.</i> (2017)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at stakeholders meeting  Avoidance of cultural tensions regarding researchers working closely with women (sensitive questions)	Kamuya <i>et al.</i> (2017)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at stakeholders meeting  Avoidance of cultural tensions regarding researchers working closely with women (sensitive questions)  Avoidance of gender-biased	Kamuya <i>et al.</i> (2017)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at stakeholders meeting  Avoidance of cultural tensions regarding researchers working closely with women (sensitive questions)  Avoidance of gender-biased research agenda (over	Kamuya <i>et al.</i> (2017)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Influence of gender of the field worker on engagement  Presence of both gender at stakeholders meeting  Avoidance of cultural tensions regarding researchers working closely with women (sensitive questions)  Avoidance of gender-biased	Kamuya <i>et al.</i> (2017)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)  Paulina <i>et al.</i> (2011)

on women at the expense of the	
health of the men])	