

Commentary

Ethics, emergencies and Ebola clinical trials: the role of governments and communities in offshored research

Morenike Oluwatoyin Folayan^{1,*}, Kristin Peterson², Frances Kombe³

¹Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria, ²Department of Anthropology, University of California, Irvine, USA, ³KEMRI Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kenya

*Corresponding author:

Morenike Oluwatoyin Folayan, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria

Cite this article: Morenike Oluwatoyin Folayan, Kristin Peterson, Frances Kombe. Ethics, emergencies and Ebola clinical trials: the role of governments and communities in offshored research. Pan Afr Med J. 2015;22(Supp 1):10

Key words: Ethics, Ebola, government, community engagement

Permanent link: <http://www.panafrican-med-journal.com/content/series/22/1/10/full>

DOI:10.11694/pamj.supp.2015.22.1.6216

Received: 26/01/2015 - **Accepted:** 12/06/2015 - **Published:** 10/10/2015

This article is published as part of the supplement "Ebola in West Africa. Before, now and then"

Supplement sponsored by Pan African Medical Journal and African Field Epidemiology Network

© Morenike Oluwatoyin Folayan et al. The Pan African Medical Journal - ISSN 1937-8688. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

The Ebola Virus Disease (EVD) in West Africa has stimulated investments in EVD research. While these research efforts are most welcome, we are concerned about the potential to ignore effective community ethics engagement programmes and critical government regulatory agencies in light of the urgency to conduct clinical trials for EVD therapies and vaccines. We discuss the reasons why community engagement with various research stakeholders is essential, how community engagement should be conducted, and the potential consequences of failing to engage both communities and regulatory agencies by drawing on past experiences in the field of HIV research. We highlight the importance of a) capacity building to enable local researchers design and implement EVD research for future epidemics, b) the need to support community research literacy, and c) the need to build the competency of research regulatory agencies on the continent to address EVD therapy and vaccine research.

Introduction

The tenofovir controversies that erupted in the early 2000s resulted largely from differences in communities' and researchers' perspectives on what made an HIV prevention pre-exposure prophylaxis trial ethical. This was defined as ethical misrecognition by Peterson, Ukpong and colleagues [1-6] in their public discussions of these debates. When not addressed, such widespread concerns spanning continents were strong enough to result in the disruption and closure of most of the early PrEP trials [7].

Poor community engagement with Ebola Virus Disease (EVD) research may once again result in differing perspectives about research ethics. The current Ebola outbreak has resulted in over 8,000 deaths and over 20,000 infections [8] in a space of approximately 13 months following the first reported case in December 2013 [9]. Global concerns [10], fear of the infection spreading beyond Africa [11, 12] and the possibility of EVD being used for bioterrorism [13] have prompted large financial investments in EVD research. Due to the urgency to curb the EVD crisis, there are plans over the next two years to conduct eight clinical trials on Ebola treatment

and eleven clinical trials on Ebola vaccines [14]. However, the timelines are so short that the prospect for effective community engagement is dismally low despite the now strong recognition to effectively engage local communities in the clinical research process [15]. The papers discuss the reasons for and how to engage communities – identified in this discussion paper as stakeholders invested in EVD research conduct - in EVD research.

Community engagement and EVD research

Community engagement would require extensive dialogue with key stakeholders, which should begin long before the trials are implemented. These include discussions with community members and community leaders at prospective trial sites on issues such as the study design, how the therapies or vaccines are imagined to work, plans and timelines for study implementation, the potential risks trial participants could

encounter, the rights of trial participants, and how state authorities are involved in the design and implementation of trials in ways that protect the rights of study participants.

This form of community dialogue gives communities the opportunity to share perspectives on study designs, which helps reduce challenges that may be associated with study participants' recruitment and retention [16]. The process also facilitates community ownership of research processes and research outcomes thereby expediting translation of research findings into use - a common challenge with many research endeavours [17]. Community dialogue also promotes understanding of research concepts, reduces therapeutic misconceptions and myths about the research [18, 19]. They also strengthen the informed consent process through dissemination of information on research goals, risks and benefits; they also help to instill respect of social norms and practices of potential volunteers [20, 21].

In some cases, community dialogues are needed to negotiate and reach consensus on standard of prevention and care packages for study participants as these may differ between researchers and trial volunteers [22]. When community dialogues are conducted, researchers should expect that components of the study design may be deemed unacceptable or unethical by community members. Researchers should be prepared to negotiate and rectify any potential disagreements that dissatisfy community members. One of the main reasons why the early tenofovir trials were shut down was because such disagreements between researchers and potential were never resolved. This process of active community engagement before, during and after research promotes respect for the community and strengthens trust and credibility of researchers [20, 23]. It also gives the community a sense of ownership of the research and increases their interest in the research process [20].

The feeling of clinical trial exploitation in the region [24] has a long history. Community members in Nigeria, for example, have raised concerns about unethical practices conducted by offshored research [25]. While we do not believe that community engagement may altogether address the concerns raised, nor that community engagement is the only panacea needed for hitch free clinical trials. It, however, goes a very long way in preventing many of the potential problems that could otherwise have resulted without credible community engagement programmes.

Other stakeholders that need to be engaged during EVD research include national governments. All current EVD therapeutic and vaccine research is initiated by partners from the global North. Research specimens may need to be exported from trial sites to institutions in the global North for investigational analysis not feasible in many of the research communities due to the lack of infrastructure to conduct such levels of molecular analysis. This process has the potential of creating a lot of suspicion and mistrust if not well handled and documented. Ideally, such exportation of specimens requires the signing of material transfer agreements between researchers and the government of the host country through appropriate agencies. In Nigeria, the National Health Research Ethics Committee hosted by the Federal Ministry of Health plays this role [26]. The Terms of Reference must be developed transparently and clearly spelled out with mandates for all parties, including access agreements and intellectual property rights. Moreover, the current sidelining of African research scientists in North-South global health collaborations is quite rampant and may become a serious issue and concern. Effort to create transparent multiple author publishing agreements is important in this regard.

The engagement of the national government also helps facilitate discussions about technology transfers and future access to vaccines and therapies. Technology transfer to countries where EVD research would be conducted is crucial as the competency for clinical trial conduct in these countries is low [27, 28]. There is little possibility of researchers receiving funds and infrastructure from the South in order to initiate EVD research studies independent of collaborations with Northern partners. Without concerted efforts to ensure that current investments in EVD research results in technology transfers and capacity building, it is likely that locally initiated researches to address future EVD outbreaks would be very few.

Government's negotiation with research sponsors is also critical to ensure future access to developed therapies when needed at affordable prices [29]. Such negotiations are appropriately done during the study design stage with signing of memorandums of understanding between

both parties. Unfortunately, current discussions on future access to EVD therapies have been limited [30, 31].

Also, we are concerned about how regulatory authorities in these countries would handle reviews, approval, and monitoring of study protocols. Affected countries could be very easily overwhelmed with the need to review clinical trial protocols for which they do not have local competency to provide review. Many ethics committees in Africa review research protocols to ensure their scientific validity and ethical integrity. In addition, a number of research ethics committees need to provide monitoring oversight [32]. Yet, the human resources to provide scientific and ethical review as well as monitoring of these complex clinical trials, associated with various ethical dilemmas, are largely non-existent [33]. Worse still, the competency to provide regulatory oversight for clinical trials is less than optimal in many African countries including those proposed for EVD research. Many lacked the necessary expertise to conduct the activities involved in the regulation of clinical trials [34]. Concerns have therefore been raised about the possibility of arising unethical practices like the case of the Pfizer's Trovan trial during a meningitis outbreak in Nigeria [35]. Indeed, this trial was very quickly designed and implemented with no Nigerian ethical clearance and ultimately disastrous results - many children trial participants were maimed or died. Similar quick designed and fast-paced EVD trials are currently being touted as a favorable approach to EVD research. Indeed, communities in the recent past have shared many concerns about little or poor adherence to the regulations guiding clinical trials instituted by overseas science communities [34]. Therefore the need for effective state monitoring of EVD related clinical trials and other forms of research, is essential.

In recognition of this gap, the ninth African Vaccine Regulatory Forum was held in November 2014 in order to agree on a collaborative mechanism for fast tracking clinical trials approvals and registration of these products in the affected countries [36]. One of the outcomes of the meeting was the recognition that there is need to provide support and expertise to help with the reviews of application submissions for ethical review licensure of EVD vaccines. The need for capacity building for research regulatory agencies in the EVD affected region was also identified [37].

Conclusion

While we applaud the support for research into Ebola, we believe that a comprehensive research plan needs to be developed for the EVD research process. Countries new to such level of clinical research should not be unduly burdened with handling significant new issues that govern the conduct of research. South-South collaboration should be encouraged to facilitate mentorship and capacity building processes during EVD research as exemplified by the Lao-Thai-Australia collaboration in HIV nutrition [38].

It is essential that the current EVD research process builds local research and community capacity in ways that ensure a great deal more capacity is left behind in the countries where research takes place. Support should be provided to institutions that host EVD related researches in the EVD region to enable them build research infrastructure; local researchers should be engaged during the development of research protocols so that they can lay claims to authorship rights and research outcomes; local researchers' participation in EVD research should go beyond being data collectors and co-authors on published papers; participation should be driven by a desire to ensure and support future local initiatives for EVD research in the region. Community engagement should be seen as not only an ethical imperative but a necessity that helps to avoid problems and conflicts that lead to premature trial closures. In the short term, lay persons on ethics committees can be trained to review and address community issues in research protocols [39, 40] or/and be supported to establish independent community advisory boards. This should not preclude community engagement efforts that promote research literacy, community dialogue, and the building of community trust. These efforts are essential in reducing the chances that the current donor supported EVD research in Africa be perceived as exploitative in the future.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MO initiated the idea of the manuscript, made substantial contributions to conception in drafting and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content; and has given final approval of the version to be published. KP and FK made substantial contributions to drafting and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content; and has given final approval of the version to be published. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- Peterson K, Ukpong M. Ethical misrecognition: reframing community perspectives and debates on clinical trial conduct. 2008. Poster Abstract presentation at the XVII International AIDS conference in Mexico City. 3rd – 8th August, 2008 (Poster Presentation - MOPE1088).
- Ukpong M, Fatusi O, Peterson K. Community vs researcher's perspectives on community demands from trials. 2008. Oral abstract presentation at the Microbicide 2008 Conference at New Delhi, India. February 24th to 27th, 2008 (Oral abstract 123).
- Ukpong MO, Peterson K. Review of the reports from the community voices in the field involved with the tenofovir controversy. Poster abstract presentation at the AIDS IMPACT conference in Gaborone, Botswana. 22nd to 24th September, 2009 (Abstract no 7.43).
- Ukpong M, Peterson K, Akanni O. Common decimals on community perspectives of the ethical conduct of clinical trials: a review of the oral tenofovir controversy. 2010. Poster discussion at the Microbicide 2010 held in Pittsburgh, USA. May 22nd to 25th, 2010. (Poster discussion 386).
- Chigwedere E, Mkunthi M, Ukpong M, Peterson K, Nthete E, Obileye O. Saying 'no' to clinical research: Malawi's denial to study tenofovir (TDF) PreP. 2010. Poster presentation at the 18th IAS AIDS Conference in Vienna, Austria. 18th to 23rd July, 2010 (Poster ThPE0249).
- Peterson K, Ukpong M. Ethical misrecognition: The early PrEP Tenofovir trial failures. 2013. Symposium presentation at the Second International HIV Social Sciences and Humanities Conference, Paris, France, July 10, 2013.
- Ukpong M, Peterson K. Oral Tenofovir Controversy II: Voices from the field: a series of reports of the oral tenofovir trials from the perspectives of active community voices engaged on the field in Cambodia, Cameroon, Nigeria, Thailand and Malawi. New HIV Vaccine and Microbicide Advocacy Society. 2009. Retrieved 19th November 2014 from: <http://www.nhvmas-ng.org/publication/TDF2.pdf>.
- World Health Organisation. Ebola Response Roadmap – situation report. 31st December, 2014. Retrieved 1st January 2015 from: <http://www.who.int/csr/disease/ebola/situation-reports/en/>.
- WHO Ebola Response Team. Ebola virus disease in West Africa - the first 9 months of the epidemic and forward projections. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 371:1481-1495.
- Rid A, Emmanuel E. Why Should High-Income Countries Help Combat Ebola? *JAMA*. doi:1001/jama.2014.12869.
- Folayan MO, Brown B. Ebola containment and the limited effectiveness of travel restrictions. *Disaster Management In Press*.
- Mitman G. Ebola in a stew of fear. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 371(19):1763-1765.
- Vlahos KB. Could Ebola virus become bioterrorist threat? 17th October, 2014. Retrieved 29th December, 2014 from: <http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2014/10/03/could-ebola-virus-become-bioterrorist-threat/>.
- World Health Organisation. Statement on the WHO Consultation on potential Ebola therapies and vaccines. Retrieved 29th November 2014 from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/statements/2014/ebola-therapies-consultation/en/>.
- World Health Organisation. WHO Ebola R&D Effort – vaccines, therapies, diagnostics. 18th December 2014. Retrieved 1st of January 2015 from: http://www.who.int/medicines/ebola-treatment/ebola_r_d_effort/en/.
- UNAIDS/WHO. Ethical considerations in biomedical HIV prevention trials. 2012. Retrieved 15th January 2015 from: http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/jc1399_ethical_considerations_en_0.pdf.
- Barkin S. The challenge facing translation of basic science into clinical and community settings to improve health outcomes. *Environ Health Perspect*. Oct 2011; 119(10):a418–a419.
- Miller L, Folayan MO, Allman D, Nkala B, Kasirye LM, Mingote LR, Calazans G, Mburu R, Ntombela F, Ditmore M. How ethical is your clinical trial. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. 2010; 64(9):1179 – 1182.
- Folayan MO, Mutengu-Kasirye L, Calazans G. Participating in biomedical research. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 25; 302(20):2201-2202.
- Kamuya DM, Marsh V, Kombe FK, Geissler PW, Molyneux SC. Engaging communities to strengthen research ethics in low-income settings: selection and perceptions of members of a network of representatives in coastal Kenya. 2013 Apr;13(1):10-20.
- UNAIDS/AVAC. Good participatory practice guidelines for biomedical HIV prevention trials. 2011. Retrieved 15th January 2015 from: http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/JC1853_GPP_Guidelines_2011_en_0.pdf.
- Strauss RP, Sengupta S, Quinn SC, Goepfing J, Spaulding C et al. The role of community advisory boards: involving communities in the informed consent process. *Am J Public Health*. 2001 Dec;91(12):1938-43.
- Diallo DA, Doumbo OK, Plowe CV, Wellem TE, Emanuel EJ et al. Community permission for medical research in developing countries. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005 Jul 15;41(2):255-9.
- Drayton R. The wealth of the west was built on Africa's exploitation. *The Guardian*. 2005. Retrieved 18th January 2015 from: <http://www.theguardian.com/politics/2005/aug/20/past.hearafrica05>.
- Maïga D, Akanmori BD, Chocarro L. Regulatory oversight of clinical trials in Africa: progress over the past 5 years. *Vaccine*. 2009;27(52):7249-7252.
- Federal Ministry of Health. National code of research ethics. 2006. Available at: http://www.nhrec.net/nhrec/National_Code_for_Health_Research_Ethics_v2.0.pdf Accessed 14 April 2014.
- Langer A, Diaz-Olavarrieta C, Berdichevsky K, Villar J. Why is research from developing countries underrepresented in international health literature, and what can be done about it? *Bull World Health Organ*. 2004 Oct;82(10):802-3.
- Rahman M, Fukui T. Biomedical publication—global profile and trend. *Public Health*. 2003 Jul;117(4):274-80.
- Milsten J, Kaddar M. Managing the effects of TRIPS on the availability of priority vaccines. *Bulletin of the World Health Organisation*. 2006; 84(5): 360-365.
- Intellectual Property Watch. WHO: fight Ebola now, solve patent issues later. 6th August 2014. Retrieved 15th January 2015 from: <http://www.ip-watch.org/2014/09/06/who-fight-ebola-now-solve-patent-issues-later/>.
- Kamal-Yanni M. World AIDS Day: what does HIV teach us about access to medicine for Ebola? 28th November, 2014. Retrieved 18th January 2015 from: <http://www.globalhealthcheck.org/?cat=37>.
- Haire B, Oguntokun O. Ethics of ancillary care in low income countries: a case study of Nigeria. *Afr J Reprod Health*. 2014; 18(3): 135-142.
- Haire B, Folayan MO, Fleming J. Development of guidelines for the conduct of HIV research monitoring by ethics committees in Nigeria. *Afr J Reprod Health*. 2014 Sep;18(3 Spec No):66-73.
- Folayan MO, Peterson K, Haire B, Brown B, Makanjuola O, Audu K, Pelemo B, Marsh V. Debating ethical issues in HIV research: gaps between policy and practice in Nigeria. *Dev World Bioeth*. 2014 Jun 27.
- Omonzejele PF. Ethical challenges posed by the Ebola virus epidemic in West Africa. *J Bioeth Inq*. 2014; 11(4):417-420.
- World Health Organisation. African regulators' meeting looking to expedite approval of vaccines and therapies for Ebola. Retrieved 23rd January 2015 from: http://www.who.int/medicines/news/AFR_reg_meet/en/.
- World Health Organisation. 9th annual meeting of the African Vaccine Regulatory Forum. Pretoria, 3 to 7th November, 2014. Recommendations. Retrieved 23rd January 2014 from: http://www.who.int/immunization_standards/vaccine_regulation/avaref_

meeting_recommendations_14nov2014.pdf.

38. Slotznick M. HIV project in Lao PDR wins award for South-South Cooperation. 9 December, 2011. Retrieved 23rd January 2015 from: <https://www.wfp.org/stories/hiv-project-lao-pdr-wins-award-south-south-cooperation>.
39. Folayan MO, Oyedeji KS, Fatusi OA. Community members' engagement with and involvement in genomic research: lessons to learn from the field. *Developing World Bioethics*. 2015 Apr;15(1):1-7.
40. Folayan MO, Adaranijo A, Durueke F, Ajuwon AJ, Adejumo AA, Ezechi O, Oyedeji K, Akanni O. Impact of three years training on capacity of ethics committees in Nigeria. *Developing World Bioethics*. 2014; 14(1):1-14.

PAMJ is an Open Access Journal published in partnership with the African Field Epidemiology Network (AFENET)

